Univerza *v Ljubljani* Fakulteta *za družbene vede*



Beyond technological innovations - capturing full potential of innovation

Metka Stare Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Ljubljana Institute of Macroeconomic Analysis and Development

Ljubljana, 30. september 2014



Fakulteta

v Ljubljani

Technological innovation matters and is important!

- Internet, Google, mobile phones.....
- Would technological innovations succeed without other innovative activities that bring them to the market ?
- Business model of Google: the revenue is not generated by the access to search engine (tech inno), but by clicks on advertisments that are linked to search results
- Displaying ads related to search results is a nontechnological innovation!
- Non-technological innovations are less visible

Technological innovation matters, but....

• CEO of high-tech company:

"the excellent team of engineers in our high-tech company develops sophisticated technical solutions, however the company is not sufficiently experienced in design, marketing and branding. The biggest challenge for our company is related to non-technological activities that are needed to put our products on the global markets"

v Ljubljani

Fakulteta

 Since 2006 CIS – provides innovation statistics that indicate the relevance of different types of innovations

Distribution of firms that innovate by type of innovation in $\%,\,2010$



za družbene vede

Univerza *v Ljubljani* Fakulteta

		Manufacturing			Services		
		Technol	Non-tech*	Combined	Technol	Non-tech*	Combined
Belgium	1	32.4	13.5	54.1	26.4	16.6	57.0
Germany	1	23.8	13.5	62.6	20.2	24.4	55.4
France	2	23.0	<i>27.</i> 6	49.4	12.6	43.7	43.8
Slovenia	2	24.1	20.6	55.1	14.8	41.4	43.8
Czech	3	20.6	27.5	51.9	13.7	40.3	46.0
Hungary	3	25.7	21.9	52.5	15.7	40.6	43.7
Lithuania	4	26.0	30.8	43.4	13.0	38.7	48.4
Bulgaria	4	43.6	27.7	28.8	22.7	46.1	31.2

*Non-technological innovations –organisational and marketing innovation, new business models

Source: CIS 2008-2010

Ljubljana, 30. september 2014

Patterns of innovation in firms (1)

 Differences in innovation capability accros countries as measured by Innovation Union Scoreboard based on 25 indicators (Table shows results for 2 countries in each category (1- innovation leaders, 2- inno followers, 3-moderate innovators and 4- modest innovators)

v Ljubljani Fakulteta

- However, substantial degree of similarity of firms' innovation patterns observed accros countries
- Manufacturing firms rely more on technological than nontechnological innovation (excl. CZ, FR)
- Service firms apply non-technological innovations more often than tehnological innovation (excl. BE)
- !Non-technological innovations are of high relevance since service sector accounts for approx. 70% GDP and employment in advanced economies

Patterns of innovation in firms (2)

 Majority of firms in manufacturing and services introduce technological and non-technological innovation at the same time - the complementary nature of innovation types v Ljubljani

Fakulteta

- Analyses confirm beneficial effects of combining various types of innovation for firms' growth and for the generation of innovations in the future (EU Inno-Grips, 2012; Evangelista, Vezzani, 2010)
- Both technological and non-technological innovations have positive effects on employment growth in M and S; impacts stronger in manufacturing (Damijan, Stare, 2014);

Patterns of innovation in firms (3)

 !Countries that are Innovation leaders have the largest share of firms that combine different types of innovation – is there a causal link?

v Liubljani

- Non-technological innovation is not as hidden as we think, but they tend to be overlooked in policy shaping
- Innovation instruments are biased towards supporting tehnological innovation
- Good policy practices exist: guess who? More balanced approach in policy support to innovation in SE, DE, FI, DK; those countries are innovation leaders !
- Do we need more evidence?

Innovation in the public sector

 No systematic collection of data for innovation in the public sector; reliance on case studies, good practices

v Ljubljani Fakulteta

- Large expectations about benefits of technological innovation, however public sector is mainly about services, where nontechnological innovation prevails
- Technology is the enabler, what is missing is non-technological innovation (new models of public services provision (PPP, PPIN); change in regulation; open data and demand driven approaches;
- Open data offers huge opportunities for innovation and improved efficiency in public services provision
- Case: Finland- Electronic service for construction permits based on open data: integrates all aplication processes; user friendly guidance for users;

Result: adopted by 50 municipalities in one year ; decision on construction permit obtained in 2-3 days, instead 2-3 weeks; satisfaction of citizens, more efficient businesses!

Big picture of innovation...

Social Innovator Exchange

"Technology can be a great catalyst of change, but it cannot be considered a silver bullet for big societal challenges and for the solution of complex problems (e.g. climate, aging population, 9 billion population, water scarcity....). Challenges that the world is facing today require social innovation"

v Ljubljani Fakulteta

The objective of social innovation is to create solutions that meet people's needs at the local and global level. Social innovation

- can be enabled by technologies, but not necessarily;
- requires engagement and cooperation of various actors, networking to build human and social capital
- requires behavioural changes- it is not about them, but about me as well!

Smart policies in different areas could unleash the potential of social innovation and make big impact!

Simbioz@ - inter-generational project

Problem: older population lacks e-skills for using ICT;

Action: young volunteers teach older population how to use computers (2011), internet, email (2012), mobile applications, social networks (2013) **RESULTS:**

v Ljubljani Fakulteta

- Implemented all over Slovenia (500 locations); Large scale project
- 9.300 young volunteers and 15.700 participants
- Initiated and driven by non-profit org; private and public sector **Impacts**:
- improved e-skills; contribution to mitigating digital gap
- increase in social capital of both generations creating inter-generational solidarity
- increase in internet use by 25% in age group 55-74
- contribution to EU Digital Agenda implementation; potential!

Advantages of the model of inter-generational cooperation

- Easy to transfer to other countries;
- Model can be used to address problems in other areas (Simbioza 2014 is about young people encouraging older population to take exercises – impact on health and more effort to preventive activities of health care)



Wrap-up

- Rapid technological progress brings productivity gains, but also high risks (jobs loss & outdated skills
 increasing inequalities; in addition to big societal challenges)
- Awareness is growing that technological innovation alone cannot deliver sustainable solutions
- Combination of all types of innovation (tech, non-tech, social, institutional innovation) - interaction and cooperation among actors needed
- Little awareness that we also need policy innovation combination and synergy of policies in different areas- not only R&I, but also education, employment, health, etc...
- Policy at the local, regional and macro level need to support different aspects of innovation, encourage cooperation among stakeholders to create systems of innovation and capture full potential of innovation

THANK YOU!